

# Adult Care Home Beds

(2021 Data)

Patient Origin			Patient Origin		
Service Location	Number of Patients	% of Total	Service Location	Number of Patients	% of Total
<b>Alamance</b>			<b>Pamlico</b>		
Guilford	102	57.30%	Edgecombe	2	2.47%
Alamance	67	37.64%	Guilford	1	1.23%
Rockingham	6	3.37%	Halifax	1	1.23%
Orange	2	1.12%	Johnston	1	1.23%
Davidson	1	0.56%	Craven	1	1.23%
<b>Total:</b>	178		<b>Total:</b>	81	
<b>Alexander</b>			<b>Bertie</b>		
Catawba	14	77.78%	Bertie	13	76.47%
Forsyth	1	5.56%	Pitt	3	17.65%
Iredell	1	5.56%	Orange	1	5.88%
Burke	1	5.56%	<b>Total:</b>	17	
Yadkin	1	5.56%	<b>Bladen</b>		
<b>Total:</b>	18		Bladen	18	81.82%
<b>Alleghany</b>			Cumberland	3	13.64%
Surry	4	50.00%	Brunswick	1	4.55%
Forsyth	3	37.50%	<b>Total:</b>	22	
Stokes	1	12.50%	<b>Brunswick</b>		
<b>Total:</b>	8		Brunswick	41	61.19%
<b>Anson</b>			New Hanover	14	20.90%
Union	2	66.67%	Duplin	7	10.45%
Richmond	1	33.33%	Pender	3	4.48%
<b>Total:</b>	3		Cumberland	1	1.49%
<b>Ashe</b>			Wake	1	1.49%
Watauga	3	75.00%	<b>Total:</b>	67	
Stokes	1	25.00%	<b>Buncombe</b>		
<b>Total:</b>	4		Buncombe	265	90.75%
<b>Avery</b>			Haywood	13	4.45%
McDowell	2	66.67%	Madison	9	3.08%
Burke	1	33.33%	Henderson	2	0.68%
<b>Total:</b>	3		Forsyth	1	0.34%
<b>Beaufort</b>			Burke	1	0.34%
Pitt	44	54.32%	Transylvania	1	0.34%
Beaufort	26	32.10%	<b>Total:</b>	292	
			<b>Burke</b>		

Patient Origin			Patient Origin		
Service Location	Number of Patients	% of Total	Service Location	Number of Patients	% of Total
Burke	94	70.15%	Buncombe	2	0.41%
McDowell	22	16.42%	Burke	2	0.41%
Catawba	11	8.21%	Forsyth	2	0.41%
Columbus	2	1.49%	Cleveland	1	0.20%
Rutherford	1	0.75%	Graham	1	0.20%
Cleveland	1	0.75%	Guilford	1	0.20%
Buncombe	1	0.75%	Lincoln	1	0.20%
Guilford	1	0.75%	Yadkin	1	0.20%
Gaston	1	0.75%	<b>Total:</b>	493	
<b>Total:</b>	134		<b>Chatham</b>		
<b>Cabarrus</b>			Chatham	65	86.67%
Cabarrus	266	88.08%	Durham	5	6.67%
Rowan	31	10.26%	Cumberland	1	1.33%
Stanly	2	0.66%	Forsyth	1	1.33%
Columbus	1	0.33%	Guilford	1	1.33%
Catawba	1	0.33%	Orange	1	1.33%
Wake	1	0.33%	Wake	1	1.33%
<b>Total:</b>	302		<b>Total:</b>	75	
<b>Caldwell</b>			<b>Cherokee</b>		
Catawba	24	58.54%	Catawba	1	33.33%
McDowell	10	24.39%	Cleveland	1	33.33%
Burke	5	12.20%	Burke	1	33.33%
Cleveland	2	4.88%	<b>Total:</b>	3	
<b>Total:</b>	41		<b>Chowan</b>		
<b>Carteret</b>			Bertie	1	100.00%
Craven	12	63.16%	<b>Total:</b>	1	
Pitt	3	15.79%	<b>Clay</b>		
Wake	2	10.53%	Graham	1	100.00%
Pender	1	5.26%	<b>Total:</b>	1	
Guilford	1	5.26%	<b>Cleveland</b>		
<b>Total:</b>	19		Cleveland	501	89.15%
<b>Caswell</b>			Gaston	42	7.47%
Rockingham	23	100.00%	Rutherford	11	1.96%
<b>Total:</b>	23		Guilford	3	0.53%
<b>Catawba</b>			McDowell	2	0.36%
Catawba	473	95.94%	Buncombe	1	0.18%
Gaston	5	1.01%	Stanly	1	0.18%
McDowell	4	0.81%	Catawba	1	0.18%

Patient Origin			Patient Origin		
Service Location	Number of Patients	% of Total	Service Location	Number of Patients	% of Total
<b>Total:</b>	562		Forsyth	18	8.26%
<b>Columbus</b>			Guilford	10	4.59%
Columbus	21	52.50%	Rockingham	2	0.92%
Brunswick	6	15.00%	Rowan	1	0.46%
Bladen	4	10.00%	McDowell	1	0.46%
New Hanover	4	10.00%	<b>Total:</b>	218	
Pender	2	5.00%	<b>Davie</b>		
Forsyth	1	2.50%	Yadkin	18	56.25%
Hoke	1	2.50%	Forsyth	12	37.50%
Pitt	1	2.50%	Davidson	2	6.25%
<b>Total:</b>	40		<b>Total:</b>	32	
<b>Craven</b>			<b>Duplin</b>		
Craven	77	64.71%	Duplin	43	87.76%
Pamlico	17	14.29%	Pitt	2	4.08%
Pitt	10	8.40%	Sampson	2	4.08%
Jones	6	5.04%	Edgecombe	1	2.04%
Wake	4	3.36%	Pender	1	2.04%
Forsyth	2	1.68%	<b>Total:</b>	49	
Nash	1	0.84%	<b>Durham</b>		
Edgecombe	1	0.84%	Durham	91	82.73%
Pender	1	0.84%	Orange	9	8.18%
<b>Total:</b>	119		Davidson	6	5.45%
<b>Cumberland</b>			Guilford	1	0.91%
Cumberland	234	92.86%	Granville	1	0.91%
Hoke	7	2.78%	Cumberland	1	0.91%
Rowan	3	1.19%	Wake	1	0.91%
Bladen	2	0.79%	<b>Total:</b>	110	
Wilson	2	0.79%	<b>Edgecombe</b>		
Yadkin	1	0.40%	Nash	41	49.40%
Johnston	1	0.40%	Edgecombe	23	27.71%
Buncombe	1	0.40%	Halifax	9	10.84%
Mecklenburg	1	0.40%	Pitt	7	8.43%
<b>Total:</b>	252		Wake	1	1.20%
<b>Dare</b>			Forsyth	1	1.20%
Davidson	1	100.00%	Wilson	1	1.20%
<b>Total:</b>	1		<b>Total:</b>	83	
<b>Davidson</b>			<b>Forsyth</b>		
Davidson	186	85.32%	Forsyth	221	53.90%

Patient Origin			Patient Origin		
Service Location	Number of Patients	% of Total	Service Location	Number of Patients	% of Total
Stokes	83	20.24%	<b>Total:</b>	14	
Davidson	36	8.78%	<b>Granville</b>		
Yadkin	29	7.07%	Granville	5	35.71%
Guilford	28	6.83%	Vance	3	21.43%
Rockingham	7	1.71%	Forsyth	2	14.29%
Brunswick	2	0.49%	Wake	2	14.29%
Pitt	2	0.49%	Warren	1	7.14%
Granville	1	0.24%	Franklin	1	7.14%
Cumberland	1	0.24%	<b>Total:</b>	14	
<b>Total:</b>	410		<b>Greene</b>		
<b>Franklin</b>			Pitt	19	76.00%
Franklin	12	63.16%	Greene	6	24.00%
Wake	5	26.32%	<b>Total:</b>	25	
Warren	1	5.26%	<b>Guilford</b>		
Cumberland	1	5.26%	Guilford	828	88.94%
<b>Total:</b>	19		Davidson	42	4.51%
<b>Gaston</b>			Rockingham	35	3.76%
Gaston	195	81.25%	Forsyth	18	1.93%
Cleveland	37	15.42%	Stokes	2	0.21%
Lincoln	3	1.25%	Yadkin	1	0.11%
Cabarrus	2	0.83%	Gaston	1	0.11%
Mecklenburg	1	0.42%	Cumberland	1	0.11%
Stokes	1	0.42%	Cleveland	1	0.11%
Wake	1	0.42%	Wake	1	0.11%
<b>Total:</b>	240		Duplin	1	0.11%
<b>Gates</b>			<b>Total:</b>	931	
Gates	4	80.00%	<b>Halifax</b>		
Pitt	1	20.00%	Nash	22	61.11%
<b>Total:</b>	5		Halifax	7	19.44%
<b>Georgia</b>			Pitt	3	8.33%
Forsyth	1	33.33%	Warren	2	5.56%
Guilford	1	33.33%	Edgecombe	2	5.56%
Haywood	1	33.33%	<b>Total:</b>	36	
Mecklenburg	0	0.00%	<b>Harnett</b>		
<b>Total:</b>	3		Cumberland	17	41.46%
<b>Graham</b>			Johnston	12	29.27%
Graham	14	100.00%	Wake	7	17.07%
			Harnett	2	4.88%

Patient Origin			Patient Origin		
Service Location	Number of Patients	% of Total	Service Location	Number of Patients	% of Total
Duplin	1	2.44%	Buncombe	1	16.67%
Moore	1	2.44%	Catawba	1	16.67%
Cabarrus	1	2.44%	<b>Total:</b>	6	
<b>Total:</b>	41		<b>Johnston</b>		
<b>Haywood</b>			Johnston	9	31.03%
Haywood	279	98.24%	Wilson	6	20.69%
Buncombe	4	1.41%	Wake	6	20.69%
Guilford	1	0.35%	Cumberland	3	10.34%
<b>Total:</b>	284		Pitt	2	6.90%
<b>Henderson</b>			Duplin	2	6.90%
Henderson	26	89.66%	New Hanover	1	3.45%
Buncombe	2	6.90%	<b>Total:</b>	29	
Haywood	1	3.45%	<b>Jones</b>		
<b>Total:</b>	29		Jones	3	50.00%
<b>Hertford</b>			Craven	2	33.33%
Bertie	5	83.33%	Duplin	1	16.67%
Pitt	1	16.67%	<b>Total:</b>	6	
<b>Total:</b>	6		<b>Lee</b>		
<b>Hoke</b>			Moore	5	71.43%
Cumberland	4	100.00%	Forsyth	2	28.57%
<b>Total:</b>	4		<b>Total:</b>	7	
<b>Hyde</b>			<b>Lenoir</b>		
Pitt	3	75.00%	Pitt	39	73.58%
Beaufort	1	25.00%	Greene	5	9.43%
<b>Total:</b>	4		Wake	5	9.43%
<b>Iredell</b>			Duplin	3	5.66%
Iredell	51	63.75%	Jones	1	1.89%
Forsyth	7	8.75%	<b>Total:</b>	53	
Yadkin	6	7.50%	<b>Lincoln</b>		
Mecklenburg	4	5.00%	Gaston	30	53.57%
Davidson	4	5.00%	Lincoln	14	25.00%
Catawba	3	3.75%	Catawba	6	10.71%
Cabarrus	3	3.75%	Cleveland	3	5.36%
Guilford	2	2.50%	Mecklenburg	1	1.79%
<b>Total:</b>	80		Rutherford	1	1.79%
<b>Jackson</b>			Watauga	1	1.79%
Haywood	4	66.67%	<b>Total:</b>	56	

Patient Origin			Patient Origin		
Service Location	Number of Patients	% of Total	Service Location	Number of Patients	% of Total
<b>Macon</b>			McDowell	3	100.00%
Haywood	1	100.00%	<b>Total:</b>	3	
<b>Total:</b>	1		<b>Montgomery</b>		
<b>Madison</b>			Montgomery	9	60.00%
Madison	21	87.50%	Duplin	2	13.33%
Buncombe	3	12.50%	Guilford	1	6.67%
<b>Total:</b>	24		Stanly	1	6.67%
<b>Martin</b>			Stokes	1	6.67%
Pitt	50	84.75%	Wake	1	6.67%
Edgecombe	5	8.47%	<b>Total:</b>	15	
Beaufort	2	3.39%	<b>Moore</b>		
Bertie	1	1.69%	Moore	65	91.55%
Wake	1	1.69%	Montgomery	2	2.82%
<b>Total:</b>	59		Pitt	1	1.41%
<b>McDowell</b>			Hoke	1	1.41%
McDowell	127	90.07%	Forsyth	1	1.41%
Buncombe	11	7.80%	Scotland	1	1.41%
Catawba	1	0.71%	<b>Total:</b>	71	
Haywood	1	0.71%	<b>Nash</b>		
Rutherford	1	0.71%	Nash	229	87.40%
<b>Total:</b>	141		Pitt	12	4.58%
<b>Mecklenburg</b>			Edgecombe	6	2.29%
Mecklenburg	150	56.18%	Wilson	6	2.29%
Cabarrus	68	25.47%	Halifax	2	0.76%
Rowan	16	5.99%	Forsyth	2	0.76%
Pitt	12	4.49%	Pender	1	0.38%
Gaston	4	1.50%	Franklin	1	0.38%
Stanly	4	1.50%	Wake	1	0.38%
Cleveland	3	1.12%	Duplin	1	0.38%
Watauga	2	0.75%	Guilford	1	0.38%
Guilford	2	0.75%	<b>Total:</b>	262	
Catawba	2	0.75%	<b>New Hanover</b>		
Cumberland	1	0.37%	New Hanover	190	70.37%
Rockingham	1	0.37%	Pender	43	15.93%
Stokes	1	0.37%	Duplin	21	7.78%
Forsyth	1	0.37%	Brunswick	12	4.44%
<b>Total:</b>	267		Catawba	1	0.37%
<b>Mitchell</b>			Stanly	1	0.37%

Patient Origin			Patient Origin		
Service Location	Number of Patients	% of Total	Service Location	Number of Patients	% of Total
Wake	1	0.37%	Stokes	1	1.59%
Columbus	1	0.37%	Gaston	1	1.59%
<b>Total:</b>	270		Catawba	1	1.59%
<b>Northampton</b>			Pitt	1	1.59%
Wake	4	44.44%	Brunswick	0	0.00%
Pitt	3	33.33%	Buncombe	0	0.00%
Edgecombe	2	22.22%	<b>Total:</b>	63	
<b>Total:</b>	9		<b>Pamlico</b>		
<b>Onslow</b>			Pamlico	9	81.82%
Pender	20	44.44%	Pender	1	9.09%
Pitt	11	24.44%	Jones	1	9.09%
Jones	6	13.33%	<b>Total:</b>	11	
Duplin	5	11.11%	<b>Pasquotank</b>		
Vance	1	2.22%	Pitt	1	100.00%
Wake	1	2.22%	<b>Total:</b>	1	
New Hanover	1	2.22%	<b>Pender</b>		
<b>Total:</b>	45		Pender	29	82.86%
<b>Orange</b>			New Hanover	6	17.14%
Orange	154	88.00%	<b>Total:</b>	35	
Durham	15	8.57%	<b>Perquimans</b>		
Pamlico	3	1.71%	Pitt	3	100.00%
New Hanover	1	0.57%	<b>Total:</b>	3	
Guilford	1	0.57%	<b>Person</b>		
Wake	1	0.57%	Orange	1	100.00%
<b>Total:</b>	175		<b>Total:</b>	1	
<b>Other States</b>			<b>Pitt</b>		
Forsyth	14	22.22%	Pitt	617	94.63%
Mecklenburg	13	20.63%	Edgecombe	21	3.22%
Wake	8	12.70%	Beaufort	5	0.77%
Cabarrus	5	7.94%	Greene	3	0.46%
Guilford	4	6.35%	Durham	2	0.31%
Rockingham	3	4.76%	Duplin	1	0.15%
Union	3	4.76%	Cabarrus	1	0.15%
Orange	3	4.76%	Jones	1	0.15%
Watauga	2	3.17%	Brunswick	1	0.15%
Edgecombe	2	3.17%	<b>Total:</b>	652	
Sampson	1	1.59%	<b>Polk</b>		
Haywood	1	1.59%			

Patient Origin			Patient Origin		
Service Location	Number of Patients	% of Total	Service Location	Number of Patients	% of Total
Polk	18	85.71%	Yadkin	1	0.74%
Henderson	2	9.52%	<b>Total:</b>	135	
Burke	1	4.76%	<b>Rutherford</b>		
<b>Total:</b>	21		Rutherford	68	70.10%
<b>Randolph</b>			Cleveland	22	22.68%
Davidson	31	62.00%	Burke	2	2.06%
Guilford	16	32.00%	Buncombe	2	2.06%
Forsyth	2	4.00%	Henderson	1	1.03%
Pender	1	2.00%	McDowell	1	1.03%
<b>Total:</b>	50		Polk	1	1.03%
<b>Richmond</b>			<b>Total:</b>	97	
Cabarrus	1	33.33%	<b>Sampson</b>		
Nash	1	33.33%	Duplin	32	36.78%
Richmond	1	33.33%	Sampson	30	34.48%
<b>Total:</b>	3		Cumberland	18	20.69%
<b>Robeson</b>			Johnston	4	4.60%
Robeson	22	52.38%	Pitt	2	2.30%
Cumberland	13	30.95%	Guilford	1	1.15%
Bladen	3	7.14%	<b>Total:</b>	87	
Duplin	2	4.76%	<b>Scotland</b>		
Cabarrus	1	2.38%	Scotland	31	100.00%
Pender	1	2.38%	<b>Total:</b>	31	
<b>Total:</b>	42		<b>South Carolina</b>		
<b>Rockingham</b>			New Hanover	7	26.92%
Rockingham	278	80.12%	Gaston	3	11.54%
Guilford	32	9.22%	Brunswick	3	11.54%
Stokes	29	8.36%	Cleveland	2	7.69%
Davidson	6	1.73%	Scotland	2	7.69%
Bertie	1	0.29%	Polk	2	7.69%
Forsyth	1	0.29%	Mecklenburg	2	7.69%
<b>Total:</b>	347		Rutherford	1	3.85%
<b>Rowan</b>			Pender	1	3.85%
Rowan	94	69.63%	Forsyth	1	3.85%
Cabarrus	21	15.56%	Cabarrus	1	3.85%
Davidson	8	5.93%	Guilford	1	3.85%
Forsyth	8	5.93%	<b>Total:</b>	26	
Cleveland	2	1.48%	<b>Stanly</b>		
Gaston	1	0.74%	Stanly	51	66.23%



Patient Origin			Patient Origin		
Service Location	Number of Patients	% of Total	Service Location	Number of Patients	% of Total
Cabarrus	19	24.68%	Union	13	72.22%
Stokes	3	3.90%	Cabarrus	3	16.67%
Rowan	2	2.60%	Cleveland	1	5.56%
Davidson	1	1.30%	Mecklenburg	1	5.56%
Gaston	1	1.30%	<b>Total:</b>		18
<b>Total:</b>	77		<b>Unknown</b>		
<b>Stokes</b>			Nash	168	67.47%
Stokes	140	88.61%	Forsyth	26	10.44%
Forsyth	10	6.33%	Wake	22	8.84%
Rockingham	5	3.16%	Watauga	15	6.02%
Davidson	1	0.63%	Guilford	11	4.42%
Yadkin	1	0.63%	New Hanover	4	1.61%
Haywood	1	0.63%	Polk	1	0.40%
<b>Total:</b>	158		Surry	1	0.40%
<b>Surry</b>			McDowell	1	0.40%
Stokes	40	36.70%	<b>Total:</b>		249
Yadkin	25	22.94%	<b>Vance</b>		
Forsyth	21	19.27%	Vance	40	62.50%
Surry	21	19.27%	Granville	10	15.63%
Pitt	2	1.83%	Warren	8	12.50%
<b>Total:</b>	109		Franklin	4	6.25%
<b>Tennessee</b>			Edgecombe	1	1.56%
Haywood	2	33.33%	Wake	1	1.56%
Madison	1	16.67%	<b>Total:</b>		64
Stokes	1	16.67%	<b>Virginia</b>		
Wake	1	16.67%	Forsyth	4	22.22%
Buncombe	1	16.67%	Guilford	3	16.67%
<b>Total:</b>	6		Stokes	2	11.11%
<b>Transylvania</b>			Yadkin	2	11.11%
Transylvania	3	60.00%	Mecklenburg	2	11.11%
Buncombe	1	20.00%	Rockingham	1	5.56%
Haywood	1	20.00%	Nash	1	5.56%
<b>Total:</b>	5		Edgecombe	1	5.56%
<b>Tyrrell</b>			Davidson	1	5.56%
Johnston	1	100.00%	Warren	1	5.56%
<b>Total:</b>	1		Cabarrus	0	0.00%
<b>Union</b>			<b>Total:</b>		18
			<b>Wake</b>		

Patient Origin			Patient Origin		
Service Location	Number of Patients	% of Total	Service Location	Number of Patients	% of Total
Wake	235	86.72%	Nash	2	6.67%
Johnston	6	2.21%	Wake	1	3.33%
Craven	6	2.21%	Wilson	1	3.33%
Franklin	6	2.21%	<b>Total:</b>	30	
Durham	3	1.11%	<b>Wilkes</b>		
Beaufort	3	1.11%	Wilkes	12	30.00%
Wilson	2	0.74%	Yadkin	8	20.00%
Pitt	2	0.74%	Stokes	6	15.00%
Edgecombe	2	0.74%	Surry	5	12.50%
Haywood	1	0.37%	Guilford	4	10.00%
Guilford	1	0.37%	Watauga	2	5.00%
Nash	1	0.37%	Forsyth	1	2.50%
Orange	1	0.37%	Davidson	1	2.50%
Greene	1	0.37%	Wake	1	2.50%
Forsyth	1	0.37%	<b>Total:</b>	40	
<b>Total:</b>	271		<b>Wilson</b>		
<b>Warren</b>			Wilson	52	75.36%
Warren	19	73.08%	Pitt	9	13.04%
Vance	3	11.54%	Nash	6	8.70%
Halifax	2	7.69%	Wake	2	2.90%
Franklin	1	3.85%	<b>Total:</b>	69	
Orange	1	3.85%	<b>Yadkin</b>		
<b>Total:</b>	26		Yadkin	147	89.63%
<b>Washington</b>			Forsyth	9	5.49%
Bertie	5	45.45%	Stokes	4	2.44%
Pitt	3	27.27%	Surry	3	1.83%
Edgecombe	2	18.18%	Davidson	1	0.61%
Wake	1	9.09%	<b>Total:</b>	164	
<b>Total:</b>	11		<b>Yancey</b>		
<b>Watauga</b>			Buncombe	2	33.33%
Watauga	13	86.67%	Forsyth	2	33.33%
Forsyth	2	13.33%	Madison	1	16.67%
<b>Total:</b>	15		Haywood	1	16.67%
<b>Wayne</b>			<b>Total:</b>	6	
Duplin	18	60.00%			
Pitt	4	13.33%			
Greene	2	6.67%			
Craven	2	6.67%			